arXiv:1404.6463v4 [math.AP] 27 Jan 2016

Enhanced group analysis of a semi-linear general bond-pricing equation

Y. Bozhkov[a], S. Dimas[b,*]

[a] *Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica - IMECC*
Universidade Estadual de Campinas - UNICAMP, Rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda, 651
13083-859 - *Campinas, SP - Brasil*

[b] *Centro de Matemática, Computação e Cognição - CMCC*
Universidade Federal do ABC - UFABC, Av. dos Estados, 5001*, Bairro Bangú*
09210-580 - *Santo André, SP - Brasil*

Abstract

The enhanced group classification of a semi-linear generalization of a general bond-pricing equation is carried out by harnessing the underlying equivalence and additional equivalence transformations. We employ that classification to unearth the particular cases with a larger Lie algebra than the general case and use them to find non trivial invariant solutions under the terminal and the barrier option condition.

Keywords: Bond-pricing equation, Lie point symmetry, group classification, barrier option, computer assisted research
2010 MSC: 35A20, 70G65, 70M60, 97M30, 68W30

1. Introduction

Recently, Sinkala et al. introduced a general bond–pricing equation

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 x^{2\gamma} u_{xx} + (\alpha + \beta x - \lambda\rho x^{\gamma})u_x - xu = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho$ and λ are real constants and $u = u(x,t)$ with $x > 0$ (see [1, 2, 3] and references therein). The equation has an interesting property: it

*Corresponding author
Email addresses: bozhkov@ime.unicamp.br (Y. Bozhkov), spawn@math.upatras.gr (S. Dimas)

encompasses some of the classical models of Financial Mathematics, namely the Longstaff model ($\gamma = \delta = 1/2, \alpha = \rho^2/4$), the Vacicek model ($\gamma = \delta = 0, \beta \neq 0$) and the Cox–Ingersoll–Ross model ($\gamma = \delta = 1/2, \lambda = 0$) [4, 5, 6].

In the present work we study — from a mathematical viewpoint — a semi-linear generalization of Eq. (1)[1], that is

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 x^{2\gamma} u_{xx} + (\alpha + \beta x - \lambda\rho x^{\delta})u_x - f(x,u) = 0,\ \rho \neq 0,\ \delta \neq 0, 1, \quad (2)$$

using the analytic machinery provided by symmetry analysis. Our aim is to find the complete group classification of Eq. (2) and use it to propose nonlinear models with potential interest to Financial Mathematics and other scientific areas that use models like (1). In other words, to find specific instances of the function $f(x,u)$ that enlarge the Lie point symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$ of the most general case: for an arbitrary function f [8, p. 178]. In order to achieve that goal we use elements from the enhanced group analysis, namely equivalence and additional transformations, in order to simplify the task at hand [9, 10].

Apart from indicating potential models, a larger set of symmetries plays a prominent role when one also considers a set of initial or boundary conditions along the PDE. Since then, the likelihood of a certain linear combination of symmetries to admit the initial or boundary problem as a whole increases. Hence enabling us to construct invariant solutions for the initial or boundary problem.

Since we obtained Eq. (2) as a generalization of the model (1) we shall also consider two specific problems associated with it,

1. The terminal condition
$$u(x,T) = 1, \quad (3)$$
and
2. The barrier option condition
$$u(H(t),t) = R(t), \quad (4a)$$
$$u(x,T) = \max(x - K, 0), \quad (4b)$$

[1]Immediately one can observe that Eq. (2) contains also the celebrated Black–Scholes–Merton model [7] when $\alpha = \lambda = 0, \gamma = 1$ and $f(x,u) = \beta u$.

where T is the terminal time.

In Financial Mathematics, the former describes the evolution of standard or "vanilla" products [11, 7, 12, 13], while the latter an exotic type of products [14, 15, 16]. Moreover, a common assumption for the function H — termed also as the barrier function — is to have the exponential form

$$H(\tau) = bKe^{-a\tau}, \tag{5}$$

where $a \geq 0$, $0 \leq b \leq 1$ and $\tau = t - T$ [15, p. 187].

As we previously mentioned the key analytical tool used in this work is the symmetry analysis of the Eq. (2). One of the advantages of this approach is that it provides a well-defined algorithmic procedure which essentially enables one to find the involved linearizing transformations, conservation laws, invariant solutions, etc. In fact, various works on the classical financial models mentioned above have a connection with the heat equation [17, 18]. As probably expected, Eq. (2) shares a connection with the heat equation. More precisely we prove here that Eq. (2) is linked with the heat equation with nonlinear source,

$$u_t = u_{xx} + f(x, u). \tag{6}$$

Furthermore, we show that the exponential form (5) usually utilized in the literature is admitted by the symmetries found. A fact that reinforces the physical importance of this specific choice by the specialist of the field.

At this point we would like to mention that for all the calculations involved the symbolic package SYM for Mathematica was extensively used, both for the interactive manipulation of the found symmetries as well as for determining the equivalence transformation and the classification of Eq. (2) [19].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we obtain the set of the continuous equivalence transformations and with their help the complete group classification of Eq. (2). In section 3 we give specific examples of invariant solutions under the specific boundary problems which we study: the "vanilla" option and the barrier option. Finally, in section 4 we discuss the results of the present work.

2. Group classification

In this section we proceed with the group classification of Eq. (2) following the same principles as in our previous works, see [20, 21]. First, the best representative for the class of equations (2) is obtained utilizing its equivalence

algebra. To do that, we construct the continuous part of the equivalence group and with its help we zero out as many arbitrary elements as possible.

Initially, observe that the trivial transformation

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{x}=x,\ \tilde{t}=\frac{\rho^2}{2}t,\ \tilde{u}=u,\ \tilde{\alpha}=\frac{2}{\rho^2}\alpha,\ \tilde{\beta}=\frac{2}{\rho^2}\beta,\ \tilde{\gamma}=\gamma,\ \tilde{\delta}=\delta,\ \tilde{\lambda}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\rho}\lambda,\\&\tilde{f}=\frac{2}{\rho^2}f.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

transforms Eq. (2) into the equation

$$u_{\tilde{t}}+x^{2\gamma}u_{xx}+(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta})u_x-\tilde{f}(x,u)=0.\tag{8}$$

We proceed in obtaining the equivalence algebra for Eq. (8) without making any assumption on the coefficients of the extended infinitesimal operator,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{X}=\xi^1\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\xi^2\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\eta_1\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\\ \eta_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}+\eta_3\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}+\eta_4\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma}+\eta_5\frac{\partial}{\partial\delta}+\eta_6\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}+\eta_7\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}+\eta_8\frac{\partial}{\partial f},\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

where in (9) the coefficients of the operator depend on the extended space $(x,\tilde{t},u,\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda},\tilde{f})$[2].

Theorem 2.1. *The equivalence algebra $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}$ of the class of equations* (8) *is generated by the following vector fields*

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})\partial_{\tilde{t}}\tag{10}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})(\tilde{f}\partial_{\tilde{f}}+u\partial_u)\tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{F}_3(x,\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})\partial_u+\\&\left(\left(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\mathcal{F}_{3x}(x,\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})+x^{2\gamma}\mathcal{F}_{3xx}(x,\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})\right)\partial_{\tilde{f}}\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{F}_4(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})\left(\frac{x^{2(1-\gamma)}}{\gamma-1}u\partial_u+4\partial_{\tilde{\beta}}+\right.\\&\left.\frac{x^{-2\gamma}\left(\tilde{f}x^2+2(\gamma-1)\left((2\gamma-1)x^{2\gamma}+\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}-\tilde{\alpha}x-\tilde{\beta}x^2\right)u\right)}{\gamma-1}\partial_{\tilde{f}}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

[2]In other words we look for generalized equivalence transformations.

$$\mathcal{F}_5(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})\left(\frac{x^{1-2\gamma}}{2\gamma-1}u\partial_u+2\partial_{\tilde{\alpha}}+\right.$$
$$\left.\frac{x^{-1-2\gamma}\left(\tilde{f}x^2+(2\gamma-1)\left(2\gamma x^{2\gamma}+\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}-\tilde{\alpha}x-\tilde{\beta}x^2\right)u\right)}{2\gamma-1}\partial_{\tilde{f}}\right) \tag{14}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_6(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}x^{1-2\gamma+\delta}}{1-2\gamma+\delta}u\partial_u+2\partial_{\tilde{\lambda}}+\frac{x^{\delta-1-2\gamma}}{\delta+1-2\gamma}\left(\sqrt{2}\tilde{f}x^2+\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.(\delta+1-2\gamma)\left(\sqrt{2}\tilde{\alpha}x+\sqrt{2}\tilde{\beta}x^2+\sqrt{2}x^{2\gamma}(\delta-2\gamma)-2\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}\right)u\right)\partial_{\tilde{f}}\right) \tag{15}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_7(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1-2\gamma+\delta}((1-2\gamma+\delta)\log x-1)}{(1-2\gamma+\delta)^2}u\partial_u+2\partial_\delta+\right.$$
$$\left.\tilde{\lambda}x^{-1+\delta}\left(\left(u-\frac{\tilde{f}x^{2(1-\gamma)}}{(1-2\gamma+\delta)^2}\right)+\frac{x^{-2\gamma}\log x}{1-2\gamma+\delta}\left(\tilde{f}x^2+\right.\right.\right. \tag{16}$$
$$\left.\left.\left.(1-2\gamma+\delta)\left(\tilde{\alpha}x+\tilde{\beta}x^2+(\delta-2\gamma)x^{2\gamma}-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}\right)u\right)\right)\partial_{\tilde{f}}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_8(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})\left(2x^\gamma\partial_x+ux^{-1-\gamma}\left(\gamma x^{2\gamma}+\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}-\tilde{\alpha}x-\tilde{\beta}x^2\right)\partial_u+\right.$$
$$x^{-3-\gamma}\left(\tilde{f}\left(\gamma x^{2(1+\gamma)}+\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{3+\delta}-\tilde{\alpha}x^3-\tilde{\beta}x^4\right)+u\left(\tilde{\beta}^2(\gamma-1)x^4-\right.\right.$$
$$2\tilde{\alpha}\gamma x^{1+2\gamma}+\tilde{\alpha}^2\gamma x^2+\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}(2\gamma-1)x^3+\gamma\left(2-3\gamma+\gamma^2\right)x^{4\gamma}- \tag{17}$$
$$\sqrt{2}(2\gamma-\delta)(\delta-1)\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+2\gamma+\delta}+\sqrt{2}\tilde{\alpha}(\delta-2\gamma)\tilde{\lambda}x^{2+\delta}+$$
$$\left.\left.\sqrt{2}\tilde{\beta}(1-2\gamma+\delta)\tilde{\lambda}x^{3+\delta}-2(\delta-\gamma)\tilde{\lambda}^2x^{2(1+\delta)}\right)\right)\partial_{\tilde{f}}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_9(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})\left(\frac{2x}{1-\gamma}\partial_x+4\tilde{t}\partial_{\tilde{t}}+\right.$$
$$\frac{ux^{-2\gamma}\left(\tilde{\alpha}x+\tilde{\beta}x^2+4(\gamma-1)x^{2\gamma}-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}\right)}{\gamma-1}\partial_u+$$
$$\frac{x^{-1-2\gamma}}{\gamma-1}\left(\tilde{f}x^2\left(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^\delta\right)-u\left(2\tilde{\beta}^2(\gamma-1)x^3+\tilde{\alpha}^2(2\gamma-1)x-\right.\right. \tag{18}$$
$$2\tilde{\alpha}\gamma(2\gamma-1)x^{2\gamma}+\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}(4\gamma-3)x^2-2\tilde{\beta}\left(1-3\gamma+2\gamma^2\right)x^{1+2\gamma}+$$
$$\sqrt{2}\tilde{\alpha}(2-4\gamma+\delta)\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}+\sqrt{2}\tilde{\beta}(3-4\gamma+\delta)\tilde{\lambda}x^{2+\delta}+$$
$$\left.\left.\sqrt{2}\left(\delta-2\gamma\right)\left(\delta-2\gamma+1\right)\tilde{\lambda}x^{2\gamma+\delta}-2(1-2\gamma+\delta)\tilde{\lambda}^2x^{1+2\delta}\right)\right)\partial_{\tilde{f}}\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{F}_{10}(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta},\gamma,\delta,\tilde{\lambda})\Big(4\partial_\gamma-\frac{4x(1+(\gamma-1)\log x)}{(\gamma-1)^2}\partial_x+\\
&\frac{x^{-2\gamma}u}{(2\gamma-1)^2(\gamma-1)^2(1-2\gamma+\delta)^2}\left(x\left(2\tilde{\alpha}\left(2\gamma^2-1\right)(1-2\gamma+\delta)^2+\right.\right.\\
&(1-2\gamma)^2\left(\tilde{\beta}(1-2\gamma+\delta)^2x-2\sqrt{2}\left(2\gamma^2+\delta(2-4\gamma+\delta)-1\right)\tilde{\lambda}x^\delta\right)\Big)+\\
&2\left(1-3\gamma+2\gamma^2\right)(1-2\gamma+\delta)\left((1-2\gamma)(1-2\gamma+\delta)x^{2\gamma}+\right.\\
&\left.\tilde{\alpha}(1-2\gamma+\delta)x-\sqrt{2}(2\gamma-1)(\delta-1)\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}\right)\log x\Big)\partial_u+\\
&\frac{x^{-2(1+\gamma)}}{(2\gamma-1)^2(\gamma-1)^2(1-2\gamma+\delta)^2}\times\\
&\Big(2u(1-2\gamma)^2(1-2\gamma+\delta)^2\left(\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}(1-2\gamma)x^3+(\gamma-1)x^{4\gamma}-\right.\\
&\tilde{\beta}^2(\gamma-1)x^4+2\tilde{\beta}(\gamma-1)^2x^{2(1+\gamma)}-\tilde{\alpha}^2\gamma x^2+2\tilde{\alpha}\left(1-\gamma+\gamma^2\right)x^{1+2\gamma}-\\
&\sqrt{2}\tilde{\alpha}(\delta-2\gamma)\tilde{\lambda}x^{2+\delta}-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\beta}(1-2\gamma+\delta)\tilde{\lambda}x^{3+\delta}-\\
&\left.\sqrt{2}\left(2+2\gamma^2-\delta+\delta^2-2\gamma(1+\delta)\right)\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+2\gamma+\delta}+2(\delta-\gamma)\tilde{\lambda}^2x^{2(1+\delta)}\right)+\\
&\tilde{f}x^3\left(2\tilde{\alpha}\left(2\gamma^2-1\right)(1-2\gamma+\delta)^2+(1-2\gamma)^2\left(\tilde{\beta}(1-2\gamma+\delta)^2x-\right.\right.\\
&\left.2\sqrt{2}\left(-1+2\gamma^2+2\delta-4\gamma\delta+\delta^2\right)\tilde{\lambda}x^\delta\right)\Big)-\\
&2x\left(1-3\gamma+2\gamma^2\right)(2\gamma-\delta-1)\left(\tilde{f}x\left((2\gamma-1)(2\gamma-\delta-1)x^{2\gamma}+\right.\right.\\
&\left.\tilde{\alpha}(1-2\gamma+\delta)x-\sqrt{2}(2\gamma-1)(\delta-1)\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}\right)+\\
&u(2\gamma-1)(2\gamma-\delta-1)\left(\tilde{\alpha}^2x+\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}x^2-2\tilde{\alpha}\gamma x^{2\gamma}+\sqrt{2}\tilde{\alpha}(\delta-2)\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}+\right.\\
&\sqrt{2}\tilde{\beta}(\delta-1)\tilde{\lambda}x^{2+\delta}-\sqrt{2}(2\gamma-\delta)(\delta-1)\tilde{\lambda}x^{2\gamma+\delta}-\\
&\left.\left.\left.2(\delta-1)\tilde{\lambda}^2x^{1+2\delta}\right)\right)\log x\Big)\partial_{\tilde{f}}\Big)
\end{aligned}
\tag{19}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_i,\ i=1,\dots,10$ *are arbitrary real functions.*

Proof. By applying the second order augmented prolongation to the extended system

$$
\begin{aligned}
&u_{\tilde{t}}+x^{2\gamma(x,\tilde{t},u)}u_{xx}-\tilde{f}(x,\tilde{t},u)+\\
&\qquad(\tilde{\alpha}(x,\tilde{t},u)+\tilde{\beta}(x,\tilde{t},u)x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}(x,\tilde{t},u)x^{\delta(x,\tilde{t},u)})u_x=0,\\
&\tilde{\alpha}_x=\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{t}}=\tilde{\alpha}_u=\tilde{\beta}_x=\tilde{\beta}_{\tilde{t}}=\tilde{\beta}_u=\gamma_x=\gamma_{\tilde{t}}=\gamma_u=\delta_x=\delta_{\tilde{t}}=\delta_u=\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\tilde{\lambda}_x=\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{t}}=\tilde{\lambda}_u=\tilde{f}_{\tilde{t}}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo the extended system itself, we get the system of determining equa-

tions:

$$\eta_{3\tilde{f}}=0,\ \eta_{4\tilde{f}}=0,\ \eta_{5\tilde{f}}=0,\ \eta_{6\tilde{f}}=0,\ \eta_{7\tilde{f}}=0,\ \xi^2_{\tilde{f}}=0,\ \xi^2_{\tilde{f}\tilde{f}}=0,\ \eta_{3u}=0,$$
$$\eta_{4u}=0,\ \eta_{5u}=0,\ \eta_{6u}=0,\ \eta_{7u}=0,\ \xi^2_{u}=0,\ \xi^2_{u\tilde{f}}=0,\ \xi^2_{uu}=0,\ \eta_{1\tilde{t}}=0,$$
$$\eta_{2\tilde{t}}=0,\ \eta_{3\tilde{t}}=0,\ \eta_{4\tilde{t}}=0,\ \eta_{5\tilde{t}}=0,\ \eta_{6\tilde{t}}=0,\ \eta_{7\tilde{t}}=0,\ \xi^1_{\tilde{t}}=0,\ \eta_{3x}=0,$$
$$\eta_{4x}=0,\ \eta_{5x}=0,\ \eta_{6x}=0,\ \eta_{7x}=0,\ \xi^2_{x}=0,\ \xi^2_{\tilde{f}}=0,\ \eta_{1\tilde{f}}-\tilde{f}\xi^2_{\tilde{f}}=0,$$
$$\eta_{1\tilde{f}}-\tilde{f}\xi^2_{\tilde{f}}=0,\ \xi^1_{\tilde{f}}-\left(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\xi^2_{\tilde{f}}=0,\ 2\xi^2_{\tilde{f}}-\eta_{1\tilde{f}\tilde{f}}+\tilde{f}\xi^2_{\tilde{f}\tilde{f}}=0,$$
$$\xi^1_{\tilde{f}\tilde{f}}-\left(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\xi^2_{\tilde{f}\tilde{f}}=0,\ \xi^1_{u\tilde{f}}-\left(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\xi^2_{u\tilde{f}}=0,$$
$$\xi^1_{\tilde{f}}-\left(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\xi^2_{\tilde{f}}=0,\ \xi^1_{\tilde{f}\tilde{f}}-\left(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\xi^2_{\tilde{f}\tilde{f}}=0,$$
$$\xi^1_{uu}-\left(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\xi^2_{uu}=0,\ \eta_{1\tilde{f}\tilde{f}}-2\xi^2_{\tilde{f}}-\tilde{f}\xi^2_{\tilde{f}\tilde{f}}=0,$$
$$\left(\tilde{\alpha}x+\tilde{\beta}x^2+2\gamma x^{2\gamma}-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}\right)\xi^2_{\tilde{f}}+x^{1+2\gamma}\xi^2_{x\tilde{f}}-x\xi^1_{\tilde{f}}=0,$$
$$\left(\tilde{\alpha}x+\tilde{\beta}x^2+2\gamma x^{2\gamma}-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}\right)\xi^2_{u}+x^{1+2\gamma}\xi^2_{xu}-x\xi^1_{u}=0,$$
$$\tilde{f}\xi^1_{\tilde{f}}-\tilde{f}\left(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\xi^2_{\tilde{f}}+2x^{2\gamma}\left(\xi^2_{x}-\eta_{1x\tilde{f}}+\tilde{f}\xi^2_{x\tilde{f}}\right)=0,$$
$$\left(3\tilde{\alpha}x+3\tilde{\beta}x^2+4\gamma x^{2\gamma}-3\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}\right)\xi^2_{\tilde{f}}+2x^{1+2\gamma}\xi^2_{x\tilde{f}}-2x\xi^1_{\tilde{f}}=0,$$
$$\left(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\eta_{1\tilde{f}}-$$
$$\tilde{f}\left(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\xi^2_{\tilde{f}}-2x^{2\gamma}\left(\xi^2_{x}-\eta_{1x\tilde{f}}+\tilde{f}\xi^2_{x\tilde{f}}\right)=0,$$
$$2\left(\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\delta\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\xi^2_{u}+$$
$$x\left(\eta_{1uu}-\tilde{f}\xi^2_{uu}-2\xi^1_{xu}+2\tilde{\alpha}\xi^2_{xu}+2\tilde{\beta}x\xi^2_{xu}-2\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\xi^2_{xu}\right)=0,$$
$$\left(\tilde{\beta}x-\sqrt{2}\delta\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\xi^2_{\tilde{f}}+$$
$$x\left(\eta_{1u\tilde{f}}-\tilde{f}\xi^2_{u\tilde{f}}-\xi^1_{x\tilde{f}}+\tilde{\alpha}\xi^2_{x\tilde{f}}+\tilde{\beta}x\xi^2_{x\tilde{f}}-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\xi^2_{x\tilde{f}}-\xi^2_{u}\right)=0,$$
$$2x\log x\eta_5+2\gamma\xi^1+\tilde{f}x\xi^2_{u}+x\xi^2_{\tilde{t}}-2x\xi^1_{x}+x^{1+2\gamma}\xi^2_{xx}$$
$$+(3\tilde{\alpha}x+3\tilde{\beta}x^2+4\gamma x^{2\gamma}-3\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta})\xi^2_{x}=0,$$
$$\tilde{f}\eta_{1u}-\eta_2-f^2\xi^2_{u}+\eta_{1\tilde{t}}-\tilde{f}\xi^2_{\tilde{t}}+\tilde{\alpha}\eta_{1x}+\tilde{\beta}x\eta_{1x}-\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\eta_{1x}-\tilde{f}\tilde{\alpha}\xi^2_{x}-\tilde{f}\tilde{\beta}x\xi^2_{x}+$$
$$\sqrt{2}\tilde{f}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\xi^2_{x}+x^{2\gamma}\eta_{1xx}-\tilde{f}x^{2\gamma}\xi^2_{xx}=0,$$

$$\Big(\tilde{\alpha}^2 x + 2\tilde{\beta}x^{1+2\gamma} + 2\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}x^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2 x^3 - 2\sqrt{2}\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta} - 2\sqrt{2}\tilde{\beta}\tilde{\lambda}x^{2+\delta} -$$
$$2\sqrt{2}\delta\tilde{\lambda}x^{2\gamma+\delta} + 2\tilde{\lambda}^2 x^{1+2\delta}\Big)\xi^2_{\tilde{f}} - x\left(\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\beta}x - \sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\right)\xi^1_{\tilde{f}} +$$
$$2x^{1+2\gamma}\left(\eta_{1_{u\tilde{f}}} - \xi^2_u - \tilde{f}\xi^2_{u\tilde{f}} - \xi^1_{x\tilde{f}} + \tilde{\alpha}\xi^2_{x\tilde{f}} + \tilde{\beta}x\xi^2_{x\tilde{f}} - \sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\xi^2_{x\tilde{f}}\right) = 0,$$
$$x\eta_3 + x^2\eta_4 - \sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta}\log x\eta_6 - \sqrt{2}x^{1+\delta}\eta_7 + (\tilde{\beta}x - \sqrt{2}\delta\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta})\xi^1 - \tilde{f}x\xi^1_u +$$
$$(\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{f}x + \tilde{\beta}\tilde{f}x^2 - \sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}\tilde{f}x^{1+\delta})\xi^2_u - x\xi^1_t + (\tilde{\alpha}x + \tilde{\beta}x^2 - \sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta})\xi^2_t -$$
$$(\tilde{\alpha}x - \tilde{\beta}x^2 + \sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta})\xi^1_x + (\tilde{\alpha}^2 x + 2\tilde{\beta}x^{1+2\gamma} + 2\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}x^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2 x^3)\xi^2_x -$$
$$(2\sqrt{2}\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+\delta} + 2\sqrt{2}\tilde{\beta}\tilde{\lambda}x^{2+\delta} + 2\sqrt{2}\delta\tilde{\lambda}x^{2\gamma+\delta} - 2\tilde{\lambda}^2 x^{1+2\delta})\xi^2_x + 2x^{1+2\gamma}\eta_{1_{xu}} -$$
$$2\tilde{f}x^{1+2\gamma}\xi^2_{xu} - x^{1+2\gamma}\xi^1_{xx} + (\tilde{\alpha}x^{1+2\gamma} + \tilde{\beta}x^{2(1+\gamma)} - \sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{1+2\gamma+\delta})\xi^2_{xx} = 0.$$

Solving this system we get the equivalence algebra $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}$. □

From the previous system of overdetermined equations three special cases emerge: $\gamma = 1$, $\gamma = 1/2$ and $\delta = 2\gamma - 1$. We proceed with the most generic case, $(\gamma - 1)(\gamma - 1/2)(\delta - 2\gamma + 1) \neq 0$, and afterwards we treat each special case separately.

Lemma 2.2. *For $(\gamma - 1)(\gamma - 1/2)(\delta - 2\gamma + 1) \neq 0$ there is an equivalence transformation that zeroes the parameters $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\lambda}$:*

$$\hat{u} = \mathcal{A}_1 u,$$
$$\hat{f} = \frac{1}{4}x^{-2\gamma-1}\mathcal{A}_1\Big(4x^{2\gamma+1}\tilde{f} + \Big(2\tilde{\beta}x^{2\gamma+1} - 4\tilde{\alpha}\gamma x^{2\gamma} - 4\tilde{\beta}\gamma x^{2\gamma+1} + 2\tilde{\lambda}^2 x^{2\delta+1} +$$
$$\tilde{\beta}^2 x^3 + 2\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}x^2 - 2\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}\Big((\delta - 2\gamma)x^{2\gamma} + x(\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\beta}x)\Big) + \tilde{\alpha}^2 x\Big)u\Big),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}x^{1-2\gamma}\left(\frac{2\tilde{\alpha}}{1-2\gamma} - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}}{\delta - 2\gamma + 1}x^{\delta} - \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\gamma - 1}x\right)\right).$$

Proof. By exponentiating the vectors (13), (14) and (15) (after setting the arbitrary functions to unity) we have that $\hat{\beta} = \tilde{\beta} + 4\zeta_0$, $\hat{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha} + 2\zeta_1$, $\hat{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda} + 2\zeta_2$, respectively. By setting $\zeta_0 = -\tilde{\beta}/4$, $\zeta_1 = -\tilde{\alpha}/2$ and $\zeta_2 = -\tilde{\lambda}/2$ and substituting to the rest of the transformations we get the aforementioned result. □

Applying the above transformation to Eq. (8) we get

$$\hat{u}_{\tilde{t}} + x^{2\gamma}\hat{u}_{xx} - \hat{f}(x,\hat{u}) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Once again we repeat the same process, now for Eq. (20), also assuming that $\xi^i = \xi^i(x,\tilde{t},u), \eta_1 = \eta_1(x,\tilde{t},u)$. For brevity, we skip the intermediate steps and give directly the equivalence transformations in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *The continuous part of the equivalence group, $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{C}}$, of Eq.* (20) *consists of the transformations*

$$\bar{x} = x^{\frac{1}{\zeta_2^2}},\ \bar{t} = \zeta_0 + \frac{1}{\zeta_2^4}\tilde{t},\ \bar{u} = x^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta_2^2}-1\right)}(\zeta_1\hat{u} + \mathcal{F}(x)),\ \bar{\gamma} = 1 + \zeta_2^2(\gamma-1),$$
$$\bar{f} = \frac{1}{4}x^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta_2^2}-5\right)}\left((\zeta_2^4-1)x^{2\gamma}(\zeta_1\hat{u}+\mathcal{F}(x)) + 4\zeta_2^4x^2(\zeta_1\hat{f} + x^{2\gamma}\mathcal{F}''(x))\right),$$

where $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$ are arbitrary constants with $\zeta_1\zeta_2 \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is an arbitrary real function.

Immediately, one can choose a specific equivalence transformation[3] that zeroes $\bar{\gamma}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{x} = x^{1-\gamma},\ \tau = -(\gamma-1)^2\tilde{t},\ \phi = x^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\hat{u},\\ &\bar{f} = -\frac{1}{4(\gamma-1)^2}x^{-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma+4)}\left(\gamma(2-\gamma)x^{2\gamma}\hat{u} + 4x^2\hat{f}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Via this transformation Eq. (20) transforms into the equation

$$\phi_\tau - \phi_{\bar{x}\bar{x}} - \bar{f}(\bar{x},\phi) = 0, \tag{22}$$

the heat equation with nonlinear source. Hence we reduced the problem of the complete group classification of Eq. (2) — for $(\gamma-1)(\gamma-1/2)(\delta-2\gamma+1) \neq 0$ — to the group classification of the heat equation with nonlinear source, (6).

Before continuing with the group classification of Eq. (6) we give, omitting the detailed calculations, the equivalence transformations for each one of the three special cases.

[3]At this point we have also included the discrete equivalence transformation $t \to -t, f \to -f$.

2.1. The case $\gamma = 1$

Lemma 2.4. *There is a equivalence transformation that zeroes the parameters $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\lambda}$:*

$$\hat{u} = \mathcal{A}_2 u,$$
$$\hat{f} = \frac{1}{4x^2}\mathcal{A}_2 \left(4x^2\tilde{f} + \left(\tilde{\alpha}^2 + 2\tilde{\lambda}^2 x^{2\delta} - 2\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}(\tilde{\alpha} + (\tilde{\beta} + \delta - 2)x) + \tilde{\beta}^2 x^2 - 2\tilde{\beta}x^2 + 2\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}x - 4\tilde{\alpha}x\right) u\right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = x^{\tilde{\beta}/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{\alpha} + \frac{\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}}{\delta - 1}x^{\delta}}{2x}\right).$$

Applying this transformation we arrive again to Eq. (20), now for $\gamma = 1$. For this case an additional equivalence transformation[3] exists,

$$\bar{x} = \log x,\ \tau = -\tilde{t},\ \phi = x^{-1/2}\hat{u},\ \bar{f} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(\frac{1}{4}\hat{u} + \hat{f}),$$

that turns it into Eq. (22).

2.2. The case $\gamma = 1/2$

Lemma 2.5. *There is a equivalence transformation that zeroes the parameters $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\lambda}$:*

$$\hat{u} = \mathcal{A}_3 u,$$
$$\hat{f} = \frac{1}{4x}\mathcal{A}_3 \left(4x\tilde{f} + (\tilde{\alpha} - 2)\tilde{\alpha}u + u\left(2\tilde{\lambda}^2 x^{2\delta} - 2\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}x^{\delta}(\tilde{\alpha} + \delta - 1 + \tilde{\beta}x) + \tilde{\beta}x(2\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\beta}x)\right)\right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_3 = x^{\tilde{\alpha}/2} \exp\left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{2}x - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2}\delta}x^{\delta}\right).$$

Similarly, utilizing this transformation we reach Eq. (20), now for $\gamma = 1/2$. By using now the equivalence transformation (21) for $\gamma = 1/2$,

$$\bar{x} = \sqrt{x},\ \tau = -\tilde{t}/4,\ \phi = x^{-1/4}\hat{u},\ \bar{f} = -x^{-\frac{5}{4}}\left(\frac{3}{4}\hat{u} + 4x\hat{f}\right),$$

we again arrive at Eq. (22).

2.3. The case $\delta = 2\gamma - 1 \neq 0, 1$

Lemma 2.6. *There is a equivalence transformation that zeroes the parameters $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\lambda}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{u} &= \mathcal{A}_4 u,\\ \hat{f} &= \frac{1}{4}x^{-2\gamma-2}\mathcal{A}_4\left(4x^{2\gamma+2}\tilde{f} + \left(2\tilde{\lambda}\left(\tilde{\lambda}+\sqrt{2}\right)x^{4\gamma} + x^2(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}x)^2 - \right.\right.\\ &\qquad \left.\left. 2x^{2\gamma+1}\left(\tilde{\alpha}\left(2\gamma+\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}\right)+\tilde{\beta}\left(2\gamma+\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}-1\right)x\right)\right)u\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_4 = x^{-\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2}}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}x^{1-2\gamma}\left(\frac{2\tilde{\alpha}}{2\gamma-1}+\frac{\tilde{\beta}x}{\gamma-1}\right)\right).$$

Likewise, using this transformation we arrive to Eq. (20) and by using again the equivalence transformation (21) we reach once more the Eq. (22).

2.4. Group classification of the heat equation with nonlinear source

As we shown in the previous sections Eq. (2) is linked via a series of point transformations to the heat equation with nonlinear source (22). Hence, the problem of the group classification of (2) is reduced to obtaining the group classification of (22). This classification was done in [21] and is included, as a subset, in the work of Zhdanov et al. [22]. In their work, the group classification of the heat conductivity equation with a nonlinear source

$$u_t = u_{xx} + F(t, x, u, u_x)$$

is performed taking advantage of the fact that the abstract Lie algebras of dimensions up to five are already classified.

3. Examples of invariant solutions

Having accessible the complete group classification for Eq. (22) — and consequently for Eq. (2) — we can look for invariant solutions under the terminal condition (3) and the barrier option condition (4): Given a specific algebra from the classification in [21] the appropriate subalgebra (and the functions $H(t), R(t)$ for the barrier option problem) admitted by each problem are determined utilizing the conditions (3), (4) adapted now for Eq. (22).

Namely,

$$\mathfrak{X}(\tau - T')|_{\tau=T'} \equiv 0, \tag{23}$$

$$\mathfrak{X}\left(\phi - \Phi(X^{-1}(\bar{x}), 1)\right)\big|_{\tau=T',\, \phi=\Phi(X^{-1}(\bar{x}),1)} \equiv 0, \tag{24}$$

where $T' = \Psi(T)$, and

$$\mathfrak{X}\left(\bar{x} - X(H^*(\tau))\right)|_{\bar{x}=X(H^*(\tau))} \equiv 0, \tag{25}$$

$$\mathfrak{X}\left(\phi - \Phi(H^*(\tau), R^*(\tau))\right)|_{\phi=\Phi(H^*(\tau),R^*(\tau))} \equiv 0, \tag{26}$$

where $\mathfrak{X}$ denotes the Lie algebra chosen and $H^*(\tau) = H(\Psi^{-1}(\tau))$, $R^*(\tau) = R(\Psi^{-1}(\tau))$ with $\bar{x} = X(x)$, $\tau = \Psi(t)$, $\phi = \Phi(x, u)$ denote the point transformation in each case. Finally, by using the found subalgebra we construct similarity solutions as per usual.

In [21] we illustrated in detail the process, here we avoid exposing the cumbersome calculations and present a few illustrative examples that by no means exhaust all the possible solutions that can be found using the classification of Eq. (22).

3.1. The terminal condition

Due to the restrictions imposed to the admitted symmetries by this condition the chances of obtaining an nontrivial analytic solution, a solution depending explicitly on both variables $\bar{x}, \tau$, using less than a three-dimensional algebra are slim. Hence and the fact that the following examples are restricted to the higher-dimensional algebras only.

3.1.1. $(\gamma - 1)(\gamma - 1/2)(\delta - 2\gamma + 1) \neq 0$

By using the Lie algebra A_4^4,

$$\text{span}\left(\partial_\tau, e^{-\frac{2\beta}{\rho^2}\tau}\phi\partial_\phi, \frac{2\beta}{\rho^2}\partial_{\bar{x}} + B\phi\partial_\phi,\ 2e^{-\frac{2\beta}{\rho^2}\tau}\partial_{\bar{x}} + e^{-\frac{2\beta}{\rho^2}\tau}(\frac{2\beta}{\rho^2}\bar{x} + 2B\tau)\phi\partial_\phi\right),$$

with $A = -2\beta/\rho^2$, we get the similarity solution

$$u(x,t) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{8\beta^3\rho^2}\left(\rho^2\left(\beta^2B^2\rho^6\omega^2 - 2B^2\rho^6\left(e^{\beta\omega} - 1\right) + 2\beta B^2\rho^6\omega - \right.\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.\left. 4\beta^3\left(e^{\beta\omega} + B\rho^2x\omega - 1\right)\right) - 4\alpha^2\beta^2\left(e^{\beta\omega} - 1\right) - 4\alpha\beta B\rho^4\left(\beta\omega - e^{\beta\omega} + 1\right)\right)\right),$$

where $\omega = t - T$ for $f(x,u) = -\frac{1}{2\rho^2}u\left(\alpha^2 + \beta\rho^2 + B\rho^4x - 2\beta\rho^2\log|u|\right)$ and $\gamma = \lambda = 0$.

3.1.2. $\gamma = 1$

By using the Lie algebra $A_{3,8}^3$,

$$\text{span}\left(\partial_\tau, 2\bar{x}\partial_{\bar{x}} + 4\tau\partial_\tau, 4\bar{x}\tau\partial_{\bar{x}} + 4\tau^2\partial_\tau - \bar{x}^2\phi\partial_\phi\right),$$

with $A = 1/2,\ \Gamma = 0$, we get the similarity solution

$$u(x,t) = e^{\frac{\log^2 x}{2\rho^2(t-T)}},$$

for $f(x,u) = \frac{\rho^2 u \log|u|}{\log^2 x}$ and $\alpha = \lambda\rho, \beta = \frac{\rho^2}{2}, \delta = 0$.

3.1.3. $\gamma = 1/2$

Again, using the Lie algebra $A_{3,8}^3$,

$$\text{span}\left(\partial_\tau, 2\bar{x}\partial_{\bar{x}} + 4\tau\partial_\tau + \frac{(4\alpha-\rho^2)\phi}{\rho^2}\partial_\phi, \right.$$
$$\left. 4\bar{x}\tau\partial_{\bar{x}} + 4\tau^2\partial_\tau - \left(\bar{x}^2 + \frac{2(\rho^2-4\alpha)t}{\rho^2}\right)\phi\partial_\phi\right),$$

with $A = 2\alpha/\rho^2,\ B = \Gamma = 0$, we get the similarity solution

$$u(x,t) = e^{-\frac{2x(t-T)}{\rho^2 Tt}},$$

for $f(x,u) = \frac{\rho^2 u\log|u|}{4x} - \frac{2xu}{\rho^2T^2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{\rho^2}{4}, \beta = \frac{2}{T}, \lambda = 0$.

3.1.4. $\delta = 2\gamma - 1$

By using the Lie algebra A_4^4 ,

$$\text{span}\left(\partial_\tau, e^{A\tau}\phi\partial_\phi, A\partial_{\bar{x}} - B\phi\partial_\phi,\ 2e^{A\tau}\partial_{\bar{x}} + e^{A\tau}(2B\tau - A\bar{x})\phi\partial_\phi\right),$$

we get the similarity solution

$$u(x,t) = \exp\left(\frac{B}{A^3}\left(Be^{A(\gamma-1)^2\rho^2(T-t)} - A^2x^{1-\gamma} + \right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left. Ax^{-\gamma}e^{\frac{1}{2}A(\gamma-1)^2\rho^2(T-t)}\left(Ax + B(\gamma-1)^2\rho^2(t-T)x^\gamma\right) - B\right)\right),$$

for $f(x,u) = -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-1)^2\rho^2 u\left(A\log|u| + Bx^{1-\gamma}\right)$ and $\alpha, \beta = 0,\ \lambda = -\frac{\gamma\rho}{2}$.

3.2. The condition for the barrier option

Contrary to the terminal condition, for the barrier option — due to the two arbitrary functions $H(t), R(t)$ — any choice of Lie Algebras from the classification of (22) can be utilized in order to obtain nontrivial similarity solutions. Furthermore, the majority of the symmetries admit the form of the barrier function $H(t)$, (5), found in the literature. Another indication that indeed symmetries have the ability to highlight the significance of specific choices.

3.2.1. $(\gamma-1)(\gamma-1/2)(\delta-2\gamma+1)\neq 0$

By using the Lie algebra $A^1_{2,2}$,

$$\text{span}\left(\partial_\tau, e^\tau x\partial_x + 2e^\tau\partial_\tau - \frac{e^\tau}{4}\left(x^2-2\right)\phi\partial_\phi\right),$$

with $A=-1/2$, we get the similarity solution

$$u(x,t) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\mathcal{A}}\log|\frac{x^{8(\gamma-1)}e^{-2(\gamma-1)^2\rho^2 t}}{256\mathbf{c}} + \mathbf{c}x^{8(1-\gamma)}e^{2(\gamma-1)^2\rho^2 t}|,$$

for

$$f(x,u) = \frac{x^{-2\gamma-\frac{5}{2}}}{32\rho^2}\times\left(\frac{16(\gamma-1)^2\rho^4x^{4\gamma+1}}{\mathcal{A}}\exp\left(-\frac{2\mathcal{A}u}{\sqrt{x}}\right)+\right.$$
$$u\left(32\alpha\gamma\rho^2x^{2\gamma+\frac{3}{2}}+32\alpha\lambda\rho x^{\delta+\frac{5}{2}}-16\alpha^2x^{5/2}-32\alpha\beta x^{7/2}++32\beta\lambda\rho x^{\delta+\frac{7}{2}}+\right.$$
$$x^{9/2}\left((\gamma-1)^2\rho^4-16\beta^2\right)-8\rho^2x^{2\gamma+\frac{5}{2}}\left(\beta(2-4\gamma)+(\gamma-1)^2\rho^2\right)-$$
$$\left.\left.16\lambda\rho^3(2\gamma-\delta)x^{2\gamma+\delta+\frac{3}{2}}-4\rho^4x^{4\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}-16\lambda^2\rho^2x^{2\delta+\frac{5}{2}}\right)\right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{8}x^{1-2\gamma}\left(\frac{4\left(\frac{2\alpha}{1-2\gamma}-\frac{2\lambda\rho x^\delta}{-2\gamma+\delta+1}-\frac{\beta x}{\gamma-1}\right)}{\rho^2}+x\right)\right),$$

$\mathbf{c}\neq 0$ is a constant and $H(t) = bKe^{\frac{1}{4}(\gamma-1)\rho^2(t-T)}$.

3.2.2. $\gamma = 1$

By using the Lie algebra $A_{3,5}^{9}$,

$$\operatorname{span}\Bigg(\partial_\tau, \partial_x + \frac{a}{\rho^2}\phi\partial_\phi, \left(x - \frac{2a}{\rho^2}\tau\right)\partial_x + 2\tau\partial_\tau - \left(\left(2 - \frac{a}{\rho^2}x + \frac{2a^2}{\rho^4}\tau\right)\phi - \frac{a^2}{\rho^4}e^{-Bx}\right)\partial_\phi\Bigg),$$

with $B = -a/\rho^2$, we get the similarity solution

$$u(x,t) = \frac{x^{\frac{a-\beta}{\rho^2}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(a^4t^2 + a^2(\log x - 2at)\log x + 12\rho^4\right)e^{\frac{\alpha+\frac{\lambda\rho x^\delta}{\delta-1}}{\rho^2 x}}}{2\rho^4(\log x - at)^2},$$

for

$$f(x,u) = \frac{1}{8}\Bigg(\frac{a^4 x^{\frac{a}{\rho^2}-\frac{\beta}{\rho^2}+\frac{1}{2}}e^{\frac{\alpha(\delta-1)+\lambda\rho x^\delta}{(\delta-1)\rho^2 x}}}{\rho^6} + 4\rho^2u^2x^{-\frac{a}{\rho^2}+\frac{\beta}{\rho^2}-\frac{1}{2}}e^{\frac{-\alpha\delta+\alpha-\lambda\rho x^\delta}{(\delta-1)\rho^2 x}} - \frac{u}{\rho^2x^2}\left(4\alpha^2 - 4\lambda\rho x^{\delta+1}\left(2\beta + (\delta-2)\rho^2\right) + 4\lambda^2\rho^2x^{2\delta} - 8\alpha\left(\lambda\rho x^\delta + x\left(\rho^2 - \beta\right)\right) + x^2\left(\rho^2 - 2\beta\right)^2\right)\Bigg)$$

and $H(t) = bKe^{a(t-T)}, a < 0$.

3.2.3. $\gamma = 1/2$

By using the Lie algebra $A_{3,8}^{2}$,

$$\operatorname{span}\Bigg(\partial_\tau, \frac{4a}{\rho^2}e^{-\frac{8a}{\rho^2}\tau}x\partial_x - e^{-\frac{8a}{\rho^2}\tau}\partial_\tau + \frac{2a}{\rho^2}e^{-\frac{8a}{\rho^2}\tau}\left(2\left(\frac{2a}{\rho^2} + \Gamma\right)\Delta e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma x^2}x^{\frac{5}{2}} + \left(\frac{4a}{\rho^2}x^2 + 1\right)\phi\right)\partial_\phi, \frac{4a}{\rho^2}e^{\frac{8a}{\rho^2}\tau}x\partial_x + e^{\frac{8a}{\rho^2}\tau}\partial_\tau - \frac{2a}{\rho^2}e^{\frac{8a}{\rho^2}\tau}\left(2\left(\frac{2a}{\rho^2} - \Gamma\right)\Delta e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma x^2}x^{\frac{5}{2}} + \left(\frac{4a}{\rho^2}x^2 - 1\right)\phi\right)\partial_\phi\Bigg),$$

with $A=1,\ B=-\frac{16a^2}{\rho^4}$, we get the similarity solution

$$u(x,t)=x^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\alpha}{\rho^2}}e^{\frac{\lambda\rho x^{\delta}-\beta\delta x}{\delta\rho^2}}\times \left(x^{\frac{1}{8}\left(4at-\frac{\mathbf{c}\rho^2}{a}+2\right)}\exp\left(\frac{1}{64}\left(\frac{\mathbf{c}^2\rho^4}{a^2}+16a^2t^2-\frac{64ax}{\rho^2}-16\Gamma-8\mathbf{c}\rho^2t+ 16\log^2 x+28\right)\right)-\sqrt[4]{x}\Delta e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma x}\right),$$

where $\mathbf{c}$ is a constant, for

$$f(x,u)=\frac{1}{32\rho^2}\left(16a^2\left(ux+\Delta x^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{\rho^2}}e^{\frac{\lambda x^{\delta}}{\delta\rho}-\frac{\beta x}{\rho^2}-\frac{\Gamma x}{2}}\right)-32\alpha\beta u-8\Gamma\rho^4u+ 32\alpha\lambda\rho ux^{\delta-1}-16\lambda^2\rho^2ux^{2\delta-1}-16\lambda\rho^3ux^{\delta-1}+16\delta\lambda\rho^3ux^{\delta-1}+32\beta\lambda\rho ux^{\delta}+ 16\rho^4\left(\frac{u}{x}+\Delta x^{-\frac{\alpha}{\rho^2}-\frac{1}{2}}e^{\frac{\lambda x^{\delta}}{\delta\rho}-\frac{\beta x}{\rho^2}-\frac{\Gamma x}{2}}\right)\log|x^{\frac{\alpha}{\rho^2}-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{\lambda x^{\delta}}{\delta\rho}+\frac{\beta x}{\rho^2}+\frac{\Gamma x}{2}}u+\Delta|-\frac{16\alpha^2u}{x}+ \frac{16\alpha\rho^2u}{x}-16\beta^2ux+\frac{4\Gamma\rho^4u}{x}-\frac{3\rho^4u}{x}+4\Gamma\rho^4\Delta x^{-\frac{\alpha}{\rho^2}-\frac{1}{2}}e^{\frac{\lambda x^{\delta}}{\delta\rho}-\frac{\beta x}{\rho^2}-\frac{\Gamma x}{2}}- 4\Gamma^2\rho^4\Delta x^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{\rho^2}}e^{\frac{\lambda x^{\delta}}{\delta\rho}-\frac{\beta x}{\rho^2}-\frac{\Gamma x}{2}}+\rho^4\Delta x^{-\frac{\alpha}{\rho^2}-\frac{1}{2}}e^{\frac{\lambda x^{\delta}}{\delta\rho}-\frac{\beta x}{\rho^2}-\frac{\Gamma x}{2}}\right)$$

and $H(t)=bKe^{-a(t-T)}, a>0$.

3.2.4. $\delta=2\gamma-1$

By using the Lie algebra A_4^4,

$$\text{span}\left(\partial_\tau, e^{\frac{2a}{(1-\gamma)\rho^2}\tau}\phi\partial_\phi, \frac{2a}{(1-\gamma)\rho^2}\partial_x-B\phi\partial_\phi, 2e^{\frac{2a}{(1-\gamma)\rho^2}\tau}\partial_x-e^{\frac{2a}{(1-\gamma)\rho^2}\tau}\left(\frac{2a}{(1-\gamma)\rho^2}x-2B\tau\right)\phi\partial_\phi\right),$$

with $A = \frac{2a}{(1-\gamma)\rho^2}$, we get the similarity solution

$$u(x,t) = x^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+\frac{\lambda}{\rho}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{8\rho^2}\left(\frac{B^2(\gamma-1)^3\rho^8}{a^3} + \frac{4B(\gamma-1)\rho^4 x^{1-\gamma}}{a} - 4B(\gamma-1)^2\rho^4 b^{1-\gamma}K^{1-\gamma}te^{a(\gamma-1)(t-T)} + 4x^{1-2\gamma}\left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\gamma-1} + \frac{\beta x}{\gamma-1}\right) + \frac{4ab^{1-2\gamma}K^{1-2\gamma}x^{-\gamma}e^{a(\gamma-1)(t-T)}\left(2xb^\gamma K^\gamma - bKx^\gamma e^{a(\gamma-1)(t-T)}\right)}{\gamma-1}\right)\right),$$

for

$$f(x,u) = \frac{x^{-2(\gamma+1)u}}{8(2\gamma-1)\rho^2}\left(4ax^3(2\alpha - 2\gamma(\alpha+\beta x) + \beta x) - 4a(\gamma-1)(2\gamma-1)\rho x^{2\gamma+2}((\gamma\rho+2\lambda)\log x - 2\rho\log|u|) + (2\gamma-1)\left(4\rho x^{2\gamma+1}(2\alpha(\gamma\rho+\lambda) + \beta x((2\gamma-1)\rho+2\lambda)) - 4B(\gamma-1)^2\rho^4 x^{\gamma+3} + \rho^2 x^{4\gamma}\left((\gamma-2)\gamma\rho^2 - 4\lambda^2 - 4\lambda\rho\right) - 4x^2(\alpha+\beta x)^2\right)\right)$$

and $H(t) = bKe^{-a(t-T)}, a > 0$.

4. Conclusion

In the present paper a generalization of a general bond-pricing equation,

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 x^{2\gamma}u_{xx} + (\alpha + \beta x - \lambda\rho x^\gamma)u_x - xu = 0,$$

was suggested and studied under the view of the modern group analysis with the intend to use the obtained information to propose nonlinear models with potential significance to Financial Mathematics. To that end, we harnessed the advantage that the equivalence transformations offer when studying classes of differential equations: the knowledge of the best representative(s) for this class of equations. This fact substantially simplifies the task of classifying it and obtaining its point symmetries.

Through this classification interesting cases from the point of view of symmetries arise. Actually, the classical models of Financial Mathematics mentioned briefly in the introduction resurface, this further solidifies the fact

that their significance and place in Financial Mathematics is well justified. Nonetheless the significance of the case $\delta = 2\gamma - 1$,

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 x^{2\gamma} u_{xx} + (\alpha + \beta x - \lambda\rho x^{2\gamma-1})u_x - f(x,u) = 0,$$

is yet to be determined in the literature.

Finally, with the help of equivalence transformations we prove that the whole class of equations of the form

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 x^{2\gamma} u_{xx} + (\alpha + \beta x - \lambda\rho x^{\delta})u_x - f(x,u) = 0,$$

can be transformed to the heat equation with nonlinear source,

$$\hat{u}_{\hat{t}} = \hat{u}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} + \hat{f}(\hat{x},\hat{u}).$$

A fact that greatly simplifies the complete group classification since now we have only one arbitrary element, the function $f(x,u)$.

Nonlinear equations in general have few or no symmetries so cases that augment the set of symmetries at disposal are like an oasis in the desert. After all, it is evident in the related literature that a dynamical system possessing an ample number of symmetries is more probable to relate with a physical system or model a more realistic process. And indeed this is the case with the classical financial models: they keep appearing in group classifications of general models that include them, see for example [1, 2, 3].

This fact is even more decisive when we wish to study a boundary problem: since not all of the symmetries admit the boundary and its condition: some — or even all — of the symmetries could be excluded. Hence the bigger the set of symmetries the bigger the probability that some survive the scrutiny of the boundary conditions and give an invariant solution for the problem in its entirety.

This is evident for the terminal condition. As it can be seen by the examples three- and four-dimensional algebras were used in order to arrive to a nontrivial solution. A lower dimensional algebra seems to be unable to yield a nontrivial solution.

Things are different when we consider the barrier option : because of the two arbitrary functions $H(t), R(t)$ a broader range of cases can yield interesting solutions. It is worth mentioning at this point that, as can be seen by the solutions given in section 4, the barrier function H usually used

in the related literature is admitted by the symmetries. A fact that further strengthens the belief that symmetries can be a valuable tool in investigating this kind of financial problems and the importance of this particular choice for the barrier function in Financial Mathematics.

Indeed, the insight provided through the above symmetry analysis might prove practical to anyone looking for a more realistic economic model without departing from the reasoning behind the proposed general bond-pricing model. Indeed, not only we found specific cases that admit three- and four-dimensional Lie algebras but also constructed nontrivial solutions for the terminal boundary problem and the barrier option problem. Furthermore, the fact that those nonlinear equations are linked to the heat equation with a nonlinear source like the classical models to the heat equation reinforces that belief.

For all the above reasons it is our opinion that the suggested nonlinear variant of the general bond-pricing model — along with its group classification — might be deemed useful in Financial Mathematics. Moreover when one studies more exotic kinds of options. Options that have gained ground in the Asian markets which in turn play an ever increasing role in the world market. We leave to the interested reader the possible economical interpretation and use of the obtained results.

Acknowledgements

S. Dimas is grateful to FAPESP (Proc. #2011/05855-9) for the financial support and IMECC-UNICAMP for their gracious hospitality. We would also like to thank Prof. Peter Leach for his helpful remarks and insight.

References

[1] Sinkala, W., Leach, P.G.L., O'Hara, J.G.. Invariance properties of a general bond-pricing equation. J Diff Eq 2008;244(11):2820–2835.

[2] Motsepa, T., Khalique, C.M., Molati, M.. Group Classification of a General Bond–Option Pricing Equation of Mathematical Finance. Abstr Appl Anal 2014;2014. doi:\bibinfo{doi}{10.1155/2014/709871}.

[3] Mahomed, F.M., Mahomed, K.S., Naz, R., Momoniat, E.. Invariant approaches to equations of finance. Math Comput Appl 2013;18(3):244–250.

[4] Longstaff, F.A.. A nonlinear general equilibrium model of the term structure of interest rates. J Financ Econ 1989;23(2):195–224.

[5] Vasicek, O.. An equilibrium characterization of the term structure. J Financ Econ 1977;5(2):177–188.

[6] Cox, J.C., Ingersoll, J.E., Ross, S.A.. An intertemporal general equilibrium model of asset prices. Econometrica 1985;53(2):363–384.

[7] Black, F., Scholes, M.. The pricing of options and corporate liabilities. J Polit Econ 1973;81(3):637–654.

[8] Olver, P.J.. Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations; vol. 107 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. New York: Springer; 2^{nd} ed.; 2000.

[9] Popovych, R.O., Eshraghi, H.. Admissible point transformations of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. In: Ibragimov, N., Sophocleous, C., Damianou, P., editors. Proceedings of the 10^{th} International Conference in MOdern GRoup ANalysis. 2005, p. 167–174.

[10] Ivanova, N.M., Popovych, R.O., Sophocleous, C.. Group analysis of variable coefficient diffusion-convection equations. I. Enhanced group classification. Lobachevskii J Math 2010;31(2):100–122.

[11] Black, F., Scholes, M.. The valuation of option contracts and a test of market efficiency. J Finance 1972;27(2):399–417.

[12] Merton, R.C.. On the pricing of corporate debt: The risk structure of interest rates. J Finance 1974;29(2):449–470.

[13] Ugur, O.. Introduction to computational finance. Imperial College Press and World Scientific; 2008.

[14] O'Hara, J.. Lecture notes on exotic options. 2011. URL `http://courses.essex.ac.uk/cf/cf966/`.

[15] Kwok, Y.K.. Mathematical Models of Financial Derivatives. Springer; 2nd ed.; 2008.

[16] O'Hara, J.G., Sophocleous, C., Leach, P.G.L.. Symmetry analysis of a model for the exercise of a barrier option. Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul 2013;18(9):2367–2373. doi:\bibinfo{doi}{10.1016/j.cnsns.2012.12.027}.

[17] Gazizov, R.K., Ibragimov, N.H.. Lie symmetry analysis of differential equations in finance. Non Dyn 1998;17(4):387–407.

[18] Dimas, S., Andriopoulos, K., Tsoubelis, D., Leach, P.G.L.. Complete specification of some partial differential equations that arise in financial mathematics. J Nonlinear Math Phys 2009;16, s-1:73–92.

[19] Dimas, S.. Partial differential equations, algebraic computing and nonlinear systems. Ph.D. Thesis; University of Patras; Patras, Greece; 2008.

[20] Bozhkov, Y., Dimas, S.. Group classification of a generalized Black–Scholes–Merton equation. Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul 2014;19(7):2200–2211. doi:\bibinfo{doi}{10.1016/j.cnsns.2013.12.016}.

[21] Bozhkov, Y., Dimas, S.. Group Classification of a Generalization of the Heath Equation. Appl Math Comp 2014;243:121–131. doi:\bibinfo{doi}{10.1016/j.amc.2014.05.100}.

[22] Zhdanov, R.Z., Lahno, V.I.. Group classification of heat conductivity equations with a nonlinear source. J Phys A: Math Gen 1999;32(42):7405–7418.